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**ABSTRACT**

This document defines the Detailed Design for the County Level Air Quality Prediction (CLAP) web application. The CLAP system forecasts next-day county-level Air Quality Index (AQI) categories using historical data provided by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and a trained machine-learning (ML) model. Building upon the established architecture, this design describes subsystem responsibilities, component interactions, and data flows that support data ingestion, preprocessing, model inference, and user visualization. The design defines interfaces between presentation, application, and data layers, ensuring modularity, maintainability, and traceability to all functional and non-functional requirements.
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**INTRODUCTION**

This document presents the detailed design model for the CLAP system. Its purpose is to elaborate on the internal organization and behavior of each subsystem, providing sufficient design detail to guide implementation and verification.

The CLAP system consists of a predictive analytics workflow that ingests daily EPA AQI data, processes it into feature datasets, and applies a gradient boosting decision tree ML model (e.g. LightGBM Regressor) to generate next-day forecasts.

The system architecture follows a layered client-server style, composed of a presentation subsystem for user interaction and visualization, an application subsystem that coordinates data processing & prediction, and a data management subsystem responsible for persistence of AQI data & model artifacts.

This document describes the graphical interface, component structure, control logic, and design rationale that together satisfy system requirements while maintaining portability and accessibility compliance (e.g. WCAG 2.1 AA).

The remainder of this document is organized as follows: graphical user interface (GUI) design, static and dynamic models, rationale for the detailed design model, traceability from requirements to design, configuration management, relevant standards and constraints, and references.

**GUI (GRAPHICAL UESR INTERFACE) DESIGN**

The Graphical User Interface (GUI) provides an interactive environment for users to explore and interpret county-level air quality forecasts. The dashboard is organized into modular display panels that work together to communicate key insights clearly and efficiently.

KEY DESIGN OBJECTIVES:

* Clarity & Accessibility: Meet WCAG 2.1 AA guidelines for color contrast, focus order, and keyboard navigation.
* Consistency: Apply a unified visual layout and standardized color palette aligned with EPA AQI categories.
* Responsiveness: Adjust dynamically to various screen sizes and devices.
* Feedback: Provide visible status updates for loading, errors, and model metrics.

MAJOR INTERFACE PANELS:

* Header Panel: The header panel displays the application’s title and branding elements of choice.
* Control Panel: The control panel provides user interaction mechanisms that allow selection of input parameters and initiation of forecast generation. Its primary purpose is to facilitate configuration and execution of forecast requests by collecting necessary user inputs.
  + Responsibilities:
    - Present available parameters (e.g. model type, county, etc.) in an accessible, structured form.
    - Initiate backend communication for data retrieval, feature generation, and prediction upon user confirmation.
    - Ensure accessibility compliance (e.g. WCAG 2.1 AA) for all interactive controls and maintain clear feedback for user actions (e.g. loading, etc.).
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**Figure 2.1 – Control Panel Example**

* Prediction Panel: The prediction panel communicates the system’s next-day AQI forecast for the selected county. Its primary purpose is to present results from the predictive ML model in a concise, interpretable format.
  + Responsibilities:
    - Display the predicted AQI category and corresponding index value generated by the model.
    - Provide contextual cues (e.g. “Forecast for <datetime>”) to maintain situational awareness.
    - Convey confidence levels or qualitative AQI category indicators when available (e.g. “Good, Moderate, etc”).
* Category Probability Panel: The category probability panel visualizes the ML model’s confidence distribution across possible AQI categories. This panel supports transparency by revealing the model’s probabilistic reasoning.
  + Responsibilities:
    - Present a probability breakdown for each EPA AQI category (e.g. Good, Moderate, etc.) using clear, color-coded bars or indicators to depict category likelihoods.
    - Apply consistent color mappings with the main forecast display.
    - Support keyboard and screen-reader accessibility for all graphical elements.
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**Figure 2.2 – Prediction & Category Probability Panel Examples**

* Historical Trend Panel: The historical trend panel contextualizes the forecast by displaying recent AQI trends. It provides insight into historical AQI fluctuations that impact current model predictions.
  + Responsibilities:
    - Present a time-series visualization of at least the most recent 30 days of AQI data for the selected county.
    - Enable visual comparison between historical patterns and forecasted values.
    - Use accessible chart colors and labeling aligned with WCAG 2.1 AA guidelines.
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**Figure 2.3 – Historical Trend Panel Example**

* Model Information Panel: The model information panel summarizes metadata about the predictive model currently in use. It supports user understanding of system performance and model validity.
  + Responsibilities:
    - Display key performance metrics such as mean squared error (MSE), root mean squared error (RMSE), and R2 values.
    - Serve as informational reference for evaluating forecast reliably.
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**Figure 2.4 – Model Information Panel Example**

GUI DESIGN INTENT:

The GUI’s modular design ensures that each panel operates as an independent, reusable subsystem that contributes to the overall user workflow. Collectively, the system’s major interface panels embody the project’s quality attributes of accessibility, maintainability, and traceability to user requirements.

**STATIC MODEL (CLASS DIAGRAMS)**

The CLAP system’s backend services are organized into modular route subsystems that collectively handle data ingestion, forecasting, and health monitoring. Each subsystem defines a clear responsibility boundary and interacts with the Domain / Data Transfer Object (DTO) layer to ensure consistency between application logic and API responses.

STATIC MODEL DESIGN INTENT:

The modular encapsulation of route handlers within the backend supports clean separation of concerns:

* Routes manage request handling and validation.
* DTOs define and enforce standardized API response schemas.
* Shared services (e.g. data access, logging, and model management) provide reusable backend capabilities without coupling to individual endpoints.

**Table 3.1 – Backend Route Subsystems and Responsibilities**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Subsystem:** | **Endpoint(s):** | **Main Responsibility:** |
| Health Monitoring Service | POST /aqi/predict | Reports API availability and model readiness status. |
| Data Refresh Service | POST /aqi/predict | Regenerates stored datasets and updates forecasts in a single workflow. |
| County Registry Service | GET /aqi/historical | Returns the list of supported counties and associated metadata. |
| Prediction Service | GET /model/metrics | Handles forecast requests by performing model inference and returning next-day AQI predictions. |
| Category Registration Service | GET /categories | Serves AQI category definitions consistent with EPA standards. |
| Historical Data Service | GET /counties | Provides recent AQI values for a specified county and state. |
| Model Metrics Service | GET /health | Returns model performance indicators (e.g. MSE, RMSE, R2). |

CLASS DIAGRAMS:

Figure 3.1 illustrates the backend route subsystems, where each route acts as a service endpoint responsible for receiving client requests, invoking the relevant processing logic, and returning structured JSON responses.
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**Figure 3.1 – Backend Route Subsystems Class Diagram**

Figure 3.2 presents the Domain / DTO Model, which encapsulates all response schemas exchanged between backend services and the frontend dashboard. DTOs enforce a consistent data format and ensure traceability between server outputs and client visualizations.
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**Figure 3.2 – Domain / DTO Model Class Diagram**

**DYNAMIC MODEL (SEQUENCE DIAGRAMS)**

The sequence diagram illustrates the dynamic behavior of the CLAP system by showing how components interact over time to fulfill requests. This diagram captures the chronological flow of messages between the frontend, backend routes, helper utilities, and machine learning components (e.g. *Predictor* instance).
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**Figure 4.1 – Forecast Generation Sequence Diagram**

When a user interacts with the browser interface (e.g. clicking “Generate Forecast”), the frontend React application sends HTTP requests to the Flask backend, which dispatches them to the appropriate backend route module (e.g. *predict.py* or *historical.py*). These route handlers invoke shared utility functions (e.g. *aqi\_utils.py*) to perform tasks such as retrieving the appropriate model (e.g. *get\_predictor()*), constructing features, and generating predictions using the LightGBM model (e.g. *predict()* or *predict\_proba()*). The results are then formatted into structured JSON responses and returned to the frontend, which renders them as interactive charts and forecast summaries.

(NOTE: Vite’s production build complies the React frontend into a static bundle of optimized HTML, CSS, and JavaScript assets that can be served by the backend (Flask) as a static site).

**RATIONALE FOR YOUR DETAILED DESIGN MODEL**

The Detailed Design Model refines the architectural description into an implementable form by specifying the internal structure and behavior of system components. It provides the blueprint developers use to construct, integrate, and test the system while maintaining alignment with requirements and architectural goals.

* It expands the architecture (e.g. Client-Server + Layered) into concrete modules and classes.
* It defines each route (e.g. *predict.py*, etc.) and utility (e.g. *aqi\_utils.py*) in terms of responsibility, interface, and interaction.
* It specifies sequence diagrams for runtime behavior, and class diagrams for static structure.
* It ensures that every function, route, and data exchange (e.g. DTO) can be traced back to its’ requirement (e.g. FR, NFR).

**TRACEABILITY FROM REQUIREMENTS TO DETAILED DESIGN MODEL**

The Detailed Design Model provides a concrete realization of each functional and non-functional requirement defined and mapped through the Architecture. This section establishes direct traceability between requirements and the design-level elements implemented in the CLAP system (e.g. modules, classes, functions, and data interactions). A traceability matrix is shown below:

**EVIDENCE THE DESIGN MODEL HAS BEEN PLACED UNDER CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT**

The team has selected GitHub as the configuration tool for this project. The tables below provide evidence of configuration management by recording version history, authorship, and reviews of document changes. The *ID* column identifies each entry. The *date of change* column indicates when a modification was made to an existing file, and the v*ersion (before & after)* columns include the associated Git commit hash for distinction. The *author* column refers to the author of the new version. The *difference link* column provides a URL to the GitHub comparison view between two consecutive commits. The format of the difference link is as follows:

“https://github.com/cchung7/rtx\_team1/compare/<ver-before-hash>..<ver-after-hash>”.

**Table 7.1 - Single file revision**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ID:** | **Date of Change:** | **Version Before:** | **Version After:** | **Author:** | **Review -Change Summary:** | **Reviewers:** |
| 1 | 10/20/25 | v0.1 () | v0.2 () | Jay Chung (cwc130330) |  | All Team Members |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Table 7.2 - Difference link**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **ID:** | **Difference Link:** |
| 1 | https://github.com/cchung7/rtx\_team1/compare/ |
| 2 |  |
| 3 |  |
| 4 |  |

**ENGINEERING STANDARDS AND MULTIPLE CONSTRAINTS**

Engineering Standards:

* IEEE Std 1016-1998-(Revision-2009): Software Design [[pdf](https://course.techconf.org/se4485/IEEE/IEEE-Std-1016-1998-(Revision-2009)-Software-Design.pdf)]

Multiple Constraints:

* Project may utilize one data set so long as multiple fields are used to train the predictive analytics model.
* Frontend must conform to WCAG 2.1 Level AA accessibility requirements for visual content (e.g. SC 1.4.1 “Use of Color”, SC 1.4.3 “Contract (Minimum)”, SC 1.4.11 “Non-text Contrast”).
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